

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virgina 22313-1450 www.spile.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/919,706	08/01/2001	Kenichi Nanpei	1232-4747	5403	
	27123 7590 12/18/2008 MORGAN & FINNEGAN, L.L.P.			EXAMINER	
3 WORLD FINANCIAL CENTER		HUNTSINGER, PETER K			
NEW YORK, NY 10281-2101		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
		2625			
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/18/2008	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PTOPatentCommunications@Morganfinnegan.com Shopkins@Morganfinnegan.com jmedina@Morganfinnegan.com

Application No. Applicant(s) 09/919,706 NANPEI, KENICHI Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Peter K. Huntsinger 2625 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 November 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1.4-10.13-18 and 21-28 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 4-10 13-18 and 21-28 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner, Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (FTO/SB/CC)
 Paper No(s)Mail Date

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 09/919,706 Page 2

Art Unit: 2625

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 10/21/08 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 4-10, 13-18 and 21-28 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Response to Amendment

3. The applicant has not traversed the examiner's assertion of official notice for the assertions provided in claims 1, 9, 10, 17, 18, 25, 26 and 28. Therefore, the common knowledge or well-known in the art statement is taken to be admitted prior art

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and

Art Unit: 2625

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 4, 5, 7-10, 13, 15-18, 21 and 23-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C.
 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kuga '338, and further in view of Yamamoto '645, well known prior art, and Mizude '189.

Referring to claim 1, Kuga '338 discloses an image reading apparatus (scanner 2 of Fig. 3) which operates with power supplied from an external power supply (inherent copy machine must be plugged in to receive power) under control of an external apparatus and which comprises an image sensing unit for reading an image, and an interface connected to the external apparatus through an interface cable (col. 8, lines 34-36, personal computer can be connected), the image reading apparatus comprising:

a controller (CPU 311 of Fig. 2, col. 8, lines 12-13) for setting said image reading apparatus in a sleep state with the image reading apparatus being supplied with power from the external power supply (col. 11, lines 25-29, preheating key 96 turns off all display lamps and sets the copy machine 1 in preheating (power-saving) mode), and

wherein power from the external power supply is not provided to the image reading apparatus across the interface cable but is provided across a separate cable (inherent copy machine must be plugged in to receive power).

Kuga '338 does not disclose expressly detecting an unplugging of the interface cable.

Yamamoto '645 discloses a detector for detecting an unplugging of the interface cable on the basis of an electric potential of a predetermined position of the interface

Art Unit: 2625

(col. 13, lines 45-57, disconnection of cable 7 detected when enable signal does not change to a low level); and

a controller for, in response to detection of unplugging of the interface cable during an image reading process controlled by the external apparatus, setting said apparatus in a sleep state (col. 13, lines 46-57, when disconnection of cable 7 is recognized, the apparatus changes to sleep mode) with the apparatus being supplied with power from the external power supply (receives power from commercial power supply 121 of Fig. 4).

At the time of the invention, it would have obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to enter a sleep mode when a data cable is disconnected. The motivation for doing so would have been to reduce the device's power consumption.

Kuga '338 does not disclose expressly transferring an image signal read by the image sensing unit to the external apparatus.

Official Notice is taken that it is well known and obvious to transfer a scanned image signal to an external apparatus (See MPEP 2144.03). The motivation for doing so would have been to store the image on a computer for future use. Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Yamamoto '645 and well known prior art with Kuga '338 to obtain the invention as specified in claim 1.

Kuga '338 does not disclose expressly initializing a mechanical position of the image sensing unit to a state set when the apparatus is power on.

Mizude '189 discloses a controller for, in response to detection of an abnormality during an image reading process controlled by the external apparatus, initializing a

Art Unit: 2625

mechanical position of the image sensing unit to a state set when the apparatus is power on (col. 6, lines 18-23, when the copying operation is once stopped because of a paper jam or the like, the optical system and the lens can be reset to their respective home positions).

At the time of the invention, it would have obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to reset the image sensing unit to its home position when a data cable is disconnected. The motivation for doing so would have been to prevent tampering with the optical system while the copier is inoperative.

Referring to claim 4, Kuga '338 discloses wherein the image sensing unit comprises:

a light source for irradiating a document with light (Exposure lamp 6 of Fig. 3);

an image sensor for converting light reflected by a document irradiated with light from said light source into an electrical image signal (CCD sensor 11 of Fig. 3);

a moving unit for moving a relative position between an image of the document and said image sensor (Scanner motor 166 of Fig. 3); and

a setting unit for stopping power supply to at least one of said light source and said moving unit in the sleep state in accordance with a setup of said controller (col. 11, lines 25-29, after entering preheating (power-saving) mode, all display lamps turned off).

Referring to claim 5, Kuga '338 discloses an A/D converter for converting the image signal output from the image sensing unit into a digital signal (A/D convert of Fig. 3).

Art Unit: 2625

Official Notice is taken that it is well known and obvious to transfer the digital image signal converted by said A/D converter to the external apparatus (See MPEP 2144.03).

Referring to **claim 7**, Yamamoto '645 discloses wherein said detector detects unplugging of the interface by detecting a change in a voltage-level of a data line included in the interface cable (col. 13, lines 45-57, disconnection of cable 7 detected when enable signal does not change to a low level).

Referring to claim 8, Yamamoto '645 discloses wherein the interface has a function of allowing to plug/unplug a cable without turning off a power supply of the external apparatus (col. 13, lines 45-57, disconnection of cable 7 detected when enable signal does not change to a low level).

Referring to claim 9, Kuga '338 discloses an interface cable but does not disclose expressly wherein the interface cable complies with USB or IEEE1394.

Official Notice is taken that it is well known and obvious to connect a peripheral device to a computer with a USB cable (See MPEP 2144.03). The motivation for doing so would have been utilize commonly available computer port to connect the devices. Therefore it would have been obvious to combine well known prior art with Kuga '338 and Yamamoto '645 to obtain the invention as specified in claim 9.

Referring to claim 10, see the rejection of claim 1 above.

Referring to claim 13, see the rejection of claim 5 above.

Referring to claim 15, see the rejection of claim 7 above.

Referring to claim 16, see the rejection of claim 8 above.

Art Unit: 2625

Referring to claim 17, see the rejection of claim 9 above.

Referring to claim 18, see the rejection of claim 1 above.

Referring to claim 21, see the rejection of claim 5 above.

Referring to claim 23, see the rejection of claim 7 above.

Referring to claim 24, see the rejection of claim 8 above.

Referring to claim 25, see the rejection of claim 9 above.

Referring to claim 26, see the rejection of claim 1 above.

Referring to claim 27, see the rejection of claim 8 above.

Referring to claim 28, see the rejection of claim 9 above.

6. Claims 6, 14, and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kuga '338, Yamamoto '645, well known prior art and Mizude '189, as applied to claims 1, 10, and 22 above, and further in view of Masuda '585.

Referring to **claim 6**, Yamamoto '645 discloses detecting unplugging of the interface but does not disclose expressly detecting a change in potential of a power supply line.

Masuda '585 discloses wherein a detector detects unplugging of the interface by detecting a change in potential of a power supply line included in the interface cable (S50 of Fig. 5B, col. 6-7, lines 65-68, 1-11).

At the time of the invention, it would have obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to determining an unplugged connection by a change in a power supply line.

The motivation for doing so would have been to check an abnormal condition of a power.

Application/Control Number: 09/919,706 Page 8

Art Unit: 2625

supply. Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Masuda '585 with Kuga '338,

Yamamoto '645, and well known prior art to obtain the invention as specified in claim 6.

Referring to claim 14, see the rejection of claim 6 above.

Referring to claim 22, see the rejection of claim 6 above.

Conclusion

 The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Nozawa Patent No. 5,720,560

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter K. Huntsinger whose telephone number is (571)272-7435. The examiner can normally be reached on 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Moore can be reached on (571)-272-7437. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2625

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Peter K. Huntsinger/ Examiner, Art Unit 2625

/David K Moore/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2625